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ABSTRACT

Background: Rc-cornet is a hand held PEP device used in facilitating airway clearance. Acapella is also a PEP device already known to be effective in airway clearance. Objective: The objective of the study was to compare Acapella and Rc-cornet device as airway clearance in bronchiectasis subjects and to determine patient preference between the two devices. Method: Forty patients (20 male and 20 female) mean age 52.20 ± 15.66 with history of expectoration of more than 30ml sputum per day were recruited. The sequence of the therapy was allocated by block randomization. Assessment and familiarization session was performed on day 1. Treatment employing the Acapella and Rc-cornet were done on days 2 and 3. Treatment order and allocation was determined by block randomization. Sputum volume was measured during and 2 hours after the treatment and patient treatment preference was recorded. Results: A statistically significant difference was found in the sputum volume expectorated with Rc-cornet (36.58 ± 7.21) compared with Acapella (34.63 ± 9.03). Patients preferred Rc-cornet in terms of clearing secretions. Conclusion: The present study proved there was increased sputum clearance following the use of Rc-cornet when compared to Acapella. In addition Rc-cornet was preferred by patients who judged that it was more useful in clearing secretions.
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INTRODUCTION

Bronchiectasis is a term used to describe as a permanent and irreversible abnormal dilatation of the bronchi and bronchioles. It is usually acquired but results from underlying genetic or congenital defects of airway clearance. Bronchiectasis in India it is known to be the 3rd commonest non – tubercular respiratory disease in adults. In Bronchiectasis, irreversible dilatation of bronchi occurs and is associated with destruction of muscular and elastic components of the bronchi wall this result in permanent dilatation and inflammation of bronchial wall. The distended bronchi have the tendency of retaining secretion; these secretions become infected triggering an ongoing and persistent host inflammatory response leading to loss of respiratory cilia and progressive airway obstruction as a result of oedema and excessive mucus.
Bronchiectasis most frequently involves both the lower lobes, when the involvement is unilateral it affects the terminal bronchi and bronchioles and is more frequently seen on the left lingula and right middle lobe. Clinical manifestation includes productive cough, fever, shortness of breath, purulent voluminous expectoration with a fetid odour and occasional hemoptysis.

Bronchiectasis is treated with medicines, hydrations, and chest physical therapy. Medicines include bronchodilators, corticosteroids and antibiotics. Physiotherapy is regarded as standard treatment when dealing with Bronchiectasis. This include traditional methods like chest physiotherapy which includes breathing techniques, manual percussion and vibration, postural drainage, forced expirations and coughing and mechanical devices includes high frequency chest wall oscillation, high frequency oral oscillation, oscillatory positive expiratory pressure devices like flutter, Acapella, RC-Cornet and PEP mask.

RC-Cornet is a modern physiotherapeutic device for patients with bronchiectasis and disorders of lungs accompanied by sputum production. RC cornet is a hand held device with curved plastic tube containing a flexible latex-free valve-hose. During expiration through the Cornet, a positive expiratory pressure and oscillatory vibration of the air within the airways are generated. It can be used in any position as it is gravity independent.

A recent study comparing RC-Cornet at settings 3-4 i.e. at maximum pressure variation, compared with flutter on bronchiectasis sputum cohesiveness based on the same throughput rate in the two devices, the study concluded that RC-Cornet reduces cohesiveness more than flutter does.

Another study comparing RC-Cornet with and without physiotherapy concluded RC-Cornet is comfortable, effective small accepted tool and also had positive effects on pulmonary functions and treatment.

Acapella is a hand held device, incorporates two therapies, positive expiratory pressure and vibration, it enabling patients to clear their congested lung and airways. Acapella was widely used as it is easier to use and take less than half the time of conventional sessions and facilitates airway opening.

A RCT done on comparing Acapella versus active cycle of breathing technique in bronchiectasis subjects concluded that Acapella is as effective as Active cycle of breathing technique and offers a user friendly alternative to Active cycle of breathing technique for patients and also greater portion of patients preferred Acapella.

A recent study done on Acapella versus threshold inspiratory muscle trainer for sputum clearance in bronchiectasis subjects concluded that there was increased sputum clearance following the use of Acapella compared to threshold inspiratory muscle trainer and Acapella was preferred by patients as useful in clearing secretion.

We hypothesized that RC-cornet will be more effective than Acapella for airway clearance.

To our knowledge, no studies have been done on Acapella versus RC-Cornet in airway clearance in bronchiectasis subjects. In addition, no studies have compared the airway clearance of RC-cornet to the Acapella in patient with non-cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis. Therefore the purpose of the study was to compare the effects of the RC-cornet and a Acapella as methods of airway clearance in bronchiectasis and to
compare the patient preference between the devices/techniques

MATERIAL AND METHODS
The study was approved by local institutional ethics committee. Written informed consent was obtained from all the patients. Thirty patients with a history of sputum expectoration of more than 30 ml per day, diagnosed to have bronchiectasis, were recruited from hospital setting at Kasthura Medical College Hospitals. Patients with uncontrolled hemoptysis, rib fractures, or history of recent myocardial infarction were excluded from the study.

Study design
An approval was obtained from the scientific committee and time the sequence of the therapy (RC-cornet/Acapella) was allocated by block randomization. Patients attended the teaching and treatment sessions for 3 consecutive days. Assessment/familiarization session was done on the first day. Patient had no used either the RC-cornet or Acapella previously. Patients performed treatments using either the RC-cornet or Acapella at the same time over the next 2 consecutive days (days 2 and 3). Patient who performed RC-cornet on day 1 were crossed over to the Acapella on day 2 and vice versa.

Patients were instructed to administer medications (bronchodilators, steroids, antibiotics whenever applicable) at least one hour before the treatment and at the same time on both the treatment days. The same physiotherapist administered all the treatment sessions. The volume of sputum expectorated (during treatment and for up to 2 hours after treatment) was measured with a volumetric jar and patient preference scale was taken.

In Group A patients performed RC-cornet
It produces a combined PEP when patient blows into it, i.e. it builds up a continuous positive pressure of about 20 cm head of water when blown into with additional pressure oscillations of about 5 cm head of water depending on how strongly the patient blows. The pressure and airflow oscillations generated by expiration through the RC-cornet are imparted to the bronchial tree by way of the mouthpiece, causing calibre fluctuations in the bronchi and thus helping to prevent respiratory tract collapse.

The patients could choose any preferable starting position as this device works independent of gravitational forces. The patient was instructed to tightly enclose lips to the mouthpiece and was advised to take a deep breath in through nose and blow through RC-Cornet. A high pitch harsh sound and vibration was felt in the chest.

The patients were instructed to repeat the same 10-15 times which is followed by huff and coughs if needed. The cycle was repeated for 15-20 minutes or 3 sets of 10-15 repetitions were given. The twisting of mouthpiece produced more positive expiratory pressure & vibration in the airway.

In Group B, patients performed Acapella
It consists of counter weighted plug and metal strip attached to a lever, and a magnet. Airflow oscillations are created by the breaking and reforming of a magnetic attraction by the plug as it intermittently occludes air passing through the device during expiration. The device incorporates a frequency/resistance dial that adjusts the proximity of the magnet to the metal strip, thereby regulating expiratory pressure and the amplitude and frequency of oscillations.
During the initial teaching session, the patient was seated in a chair and was taught to exhale through the device for 3 to 4 seconds. If exhalation was too slow or too fast, patients were encouraged to exhale more or less forcefully. The patients were instructed to take a deep breath and hold it for 3-5 seconds and the patients were instructed to place the mouthpiece into mouth and were asked to exhale completely at a slightly faster rate than normal.

The same procedure was recommended to be repeated at 10-15 times and the patients were advised to suppress the desire to cough during these cycles. The patient was then advised to remove the Acapella from mouth and exhale forcefully to aid airway clearance. If it does not trigger a productive cough, an attempt to huff/cough to help force secretion was advised.

Immediately after end of treatment session in either group the post assessment test including sputum collection (volumetric-jar), was carried out for 2hrs, and then wash out period of 24 hours was taken so as to neutralize the effects of given intervention, then the subjects were cross-overed to other group. End of the treatment session were determined when the subjects were treated with maximum of 15-20 min, or when the subjects were no longer expectorating sputum or when subjects fell too tired to continue the treatment

**Patient preference scale**

The patient preference scale (PPS) has previously been described and used in the assessment of treatment effect in patients with chronic bronchial sepsis. It has four components rated on a 5-point scale. The PPS components include (1) usefulness in clearing secretions; (2) convenience; (3) comfort; and (4) overall performance. It was scored as much better(+2); better(+1); no difference(0); worse(-1); and much worse (-2)

---

Subjects with bronchiectasis referred by pulmonary care physician

- Screening done
- Inclusion criteria
- Consent form taken
- Familiarization of procedure
- Block Randomization done

Exclusion of subjects
Statistical analysis
All statistical testing was performed using the statistical package for social science version 13.0 software. Students unpaired t test was used to compare the sputum volume. Mann-Whitney test was used to analyze patient preference scale. A p value of <0.05 with confidence interval of 95% was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
Forty subjects (20 male and 20 female) with a mean age of 52.20 ± 15.66 years participated in the study as shown in table I. A statistically difference was found in the sputum volume expectorated with RC-cornet treatment compared to breathing through the Acapella, with mean difference of 1.95 ml as shown in table II and graph I. Patient felt the RC-cornet was of more use in terms of clearing secretions, convenience, comfort and overall performance.(p<0.05) as shown in table III.

DISCUSSION
The present study comparing Acapella and RC-Cornet shows that RC-Cornet was more effective in airway clearance. The carryover effect was analyzed between groups which suggested there was no carry over effects of one treatment over the other treatment. This implies that effect is only due to treatment given. Volume of sputum collected with RC-Cornet was more when
compared with Acapella with a mean difference of 1.95ml.

A recent study comparing Acapella and inspiratory muscle trainer concluded that Acapella usage produced more sputum than inspiratory muscle trainer with a mean difference of 0.70 ml\textsuperscript{11}, another study on airway clearance in bronchiectasis comparing Acapella and ACBT, also proved that Acapella was effective in sputum clearance with mean difference of 4.23 ml. The present study showed that RC-Cornet is useful in clearing secretions. No patients in either group reported any Side effects from either airway clearance treatment. A great proportion of patients preferred RC-Cornet when compared to Acapella in sputum clearance, convenience, comfort and overall performance. Statistically RC-Cornet shows higher significance than Acapella in patient preference.

RC-Cornet is a modern physiotherapy device for patients in airway clearance. RC-Cornet works on the principle that at starting position the valve hose rests in a bent tube. At initial position a continuous positive pressure of about 20 cm head of water is formed. Three pressure oscillation frequencies are superimposed, a low frequency at about 20Hz, a middle frequency at 80Hz and high frequency pressure oscillation of 300Hz. On blowing the valve hose is forced into two compartments with a flexible valve at each compartment end. If on exhalation the critical pressure of the first valve is exceeded the air enters the second compartment which is still closed by its valve. Until the second valve opens, the first valve is shut again. This induces a constant PEP with superimposed pressure fluctuations.

Turning the mouth piece into positions 1-4 diagonally twists the valve hose, this gradually reduces the effectively of second valve, this explains the reduction of the static positive pressure in favour of the amplitude of the pressure oscillation. This valve sequence technology also induces a stop and go of the airflow which supports the removal of bronchial secretions\textsuperscript{12-13}.

Besides the pressure oscillation, airflow oscillations are also generated by expiration. These pressure and airflow oscillation are imparted to the bronchial tree by way of the mouthpiece, causing calibre fluctuation in bronchi and resulting in increased collateral ventilation, through the canals of Martin and Lambert and pores of Kohn. This renews entry of air into region that are collapsed or filled with bronchial mucus, thus reducing residual volume; it also activates the surfactant with its oscillation resulting in a stabilization of the bronchioalveolar system\textsuperscript{8}.

Acapella is a mucous clearing device, consisting of counterweighted plug and metal strip attached to a lever, and a magnet. Airflow oscillations are created by the breaking and reforming of a magnetic attraction by the plug as it intermittently occludes air passing through the device during expiration. The device incorporates a frequency/resistance dial that adjusts the proximity of the magnet to the metal strip, thereby regulating expiratory pressure and the amplitude and frequency of oscillations enhance airway clearance thinning of mucus through mechanical oscillation and increased expiratory flow\textsuperscript{10}.

The Acapella treatment may have assisted secretion clearance by altering rheology of the mucus and increased ciliary beat through stimulation of the ciliated epithelial cells. The oscillation frequency of Acapella (13.5Hz) is close to the cited
optimal frequency for secretion clearance (13Hz). The natural frequency of the ciliary beat is 11 to 15Hz, and if airflow oscillates at a similar frequency, this resonance may increase the amplitude of the cephalad-ciliary beat, which could in turn increase mucus transport. The Acapella might have increased mucus transport due to the application of resonance mechanism\textsuperscript{6, 11}. Other mechanism is that airflow oscillation might have caused unfolding of the physical entanglements between the primary network of mucous glycoprotein and other structural macromolecules, the rupture of cross-linking bonds such as disulfide bridges, or perhaps the fragmentation of larger molecules such as DNA or F-actin, leading to decreased viscoelasticity and thereby further enhancing the mucus transport\textsuperscript{11}.

Another possible mechanism may be the variable positive expiratory pressure during Acapella treatment within the airways during expiration. This increased pressure is proposed to stabilize collapsible airways, thus increasing expiratory flow in the airways, and to recruit the collateral ventilation, allowing gas behind the secretions, thus aiding the movement of these secretions towards the oropharynx\textsuperscript{6}.

In comparison to Acapella, RC-Cornet uses the entire expired air volume to produce pressure and fluctuation vibrations. The success of the therapy depends on these vibrations, especially for patients with a low expiratory volume (FEV1). RC-Cornet when compared to Acapella has ability to oscillate even at low flow at about 2 cm of H\textsubscript{2}O were as Acapella oscillation starts at 5cm of H\textsubscript{2}O. With the RC-Cornet the patient can determine the optimal personal pressure and flow characteristics by turning the mouthpiece. Positions 1 and 2 create PEP with added pressure oscillations successfully. Positions 3 and 4 create a slowly rising pressure with a sudden pressure drop. This pressure drop is useful in shedding mucous from bronchial walls. Acapella shows no such mechanism of pressure drop, which is present in RC-Cornet. In comparison with Acapella, RC-Cornet has performed better because of slow rising of pressure followed by sudden pressure drop.

Sputum volume was recorded as the primary outcome measure rather than sputum weight, as the volume provides information that establishes a short-term clinical efficacy\textsuperscript{10}. The present study showed that RC-Cornet helps in clearing secretions when compared to Acapella. Previous studies have suggested that sputum volume and weight are comparable and that each gram of sputum is considered to have a volume equivalent to 1ml\textsuperscript{10, 16}. The patient preference scale (PPS) has previously been described and used in the assessment of treatment effect in patients with bronchiectasis. It has four components rated on a 5 point scale. The PPS components include (1) usefulness in clearing secretions; (2) convenience; (3) comfort; and (4) overall performance. It was scored as much better (+2); better (+1); no difference (0); worse (-1); and much worse (-2). A recent study done on Acapella and inspiratory muscle trainer also used patient preference scale which suggested that patients preferred Acapella, in clearing secretion, convenience, comfort and overall performance\textsuperscript{11, 16}. Present study showed a great proportion of patients preferred RC-Cornet when compared to Acapella in sputum clearance, convenience, comfort and overall performance. RC-Cornet produces oscillations at low flow which helps in clearing secretions. Sound produced during oscillations may be one of the reasons as it provides a feedback to the subjects. It gives the subjects an idea.
about the presence of secretions there by making the subjects involved in the decision making and better convenience, comfort and overall performance. RC-Cornet can be used by any age subjects. The present study showed that a great proportion of subjects preferred RC-Cornet when compared to Acapella in sputum clearance, convenience, comfort and overall performance.

**Study limitations**
The limitation of the study is the possibility of bias, as the same physiotherapist delivered both interventions and collected sputum volumes, and the single treatment design, which may not truly reflect clinical practice where treatments are incorporated over a long period of time. The study was a short term study.

**Future research**
- In the present study, our goal was to investigate the short term effect of RC-Cornet and Acapella treatment in airway clearance.
- Further studies should address the effect of long term outcome, such as frequency of hospitalization and quality of life.
- Further studies required to investigate the mechanism of mucous transport through radioactive aerosol tracer technique.

**Clinical implication**
Compared with other physiotherapy PEP devices used for the airway clearance, RC-Cornet is the most effective and comfortable device which can be used easily in the clinical setting for the patients with bronchiectasis.

**CONCLUSION**
This short-term study demonstrated increased sputum clearance following the use of the RC-cornet when compared to the Acapella. In addition, the RC-cornet was preferred by patients who judged that it was more useful in clearing secretions.
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Table I. Baseline characteristic.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean age</strong></td>
<td>52.20 ± 15.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender : Male / Female</strong></td>
<td>20/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration of disease in years</strong></td>
<td>11.54 ± 8.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Presence of Tuberculosis</strong></td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II. Comparison of sputum volume (ml) between the two technique.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GROUP</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>MEAN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RC-CORNET SPUTUM</td>
<td>PRE</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACAPELLA SPUTUM</td>
<td>PRE</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table III. Result of patient preference scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DEVICE</th>
<th>MEAN</th>
<th>STD. DEVIATION</th>
<th>MANN-WHITNEY TEST</th>
<th>p VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>USEFUL IN CLEARANCE</strong></td>
<td><strong>SECRETION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC-CORNET</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.736</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACAPELLA</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1.421</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONVENIENCE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC-CORNET</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.154</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACAPELLA</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.493</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMFORT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC-CORNET</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.086</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACAPELLA</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.566</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OVERALL PERFORMANCE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC-CORNET</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.281</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACAPELLA</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.414</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>